2136334850 Page__ P.02/03

NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) PC 261(A)(3) 09/08/13 PC 261.5(b) FO 09/08/13 PC 261.5(b) FO 09/08/13 PC 261.5(b) FO 09/08/13 PC 261.5(b) FO 09/08/13 B DOB SEX NAME BOOKING NO. VIP VI VI No KEY CODE: 14 Gang Member Name of Gang Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX NAME BOOKING NO. VIP VI No KEY CODE: 14 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 1 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX NAME BOOKING NO. VIP No KEY CODE: 14 No KEY CODE: 15 NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) No KEY CODE: 15 Victim DOB: 15 No Member Name of Gang Victim DOB: 15 No Member Name					THEAST		DA CASE NO.			DATE	DATE		
USP. NO. SUSPECT CODE SECTION OFFENSE REASON CODE NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB, John DOB SEX (MA) BOOKING NO. VIPM VILL No. MEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MA) BOOKING NO. VIPM VILL No. MEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MA) BOOKING NO. VIPM VILL No. MEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MA) BOOKING NO. VIPM VILL No. MEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MA) BOOKING NO. VIPM VILL No. MEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MA) BOOKING NO. VIPM VILL No. MEY CODE: VICTION DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX (MA) BOOKING NO. VIPM VIAM No. MEY CODE: VICTION DOB: VICTION DOB:	√] FEI	LONY						- 00	163				
SUSPECT CODE SECTION NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) PC 261(A)(3) 09/09/13 PC 261.5(b) FO 09/08/13 PC 261.5(b) FO 09/08/13 PC 261.5(b) FO 09/08/13 B DOB SEX (MM) BOOKING NO. VIPU VICUM Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name:	☐ MIS	DEMEANOR					ŀ	FICE CODE		1 -		CE REFERRAL	
NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIPWO VILLION Gang Member Name of Gang Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIPWO VILLION Gang Member Name of Gang Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIPWO VILLION Gang Member Name of Gang Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIPWO VILLION Gang Member Name of Gang Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIPWO NO. KEY CODE: Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIPWO NO. KEY CODE: Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE)					SXC		SXC	C		YES-Nouty VWAP NO			
NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NOB SEX mm BOCKING NO. VIPU vi no No KEY CODE: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) NAME (LAST,	SUSP.	en c			PECT								
DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 4 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 4 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 4 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 4 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 5 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 4 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 5 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 4 NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 5 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 6 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 7 Notion Name: Victim DOB: Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim DOB: Victim DOB: Victim Name (Last, First Middle) 6 DOB SEX NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 7 Notion Name: Victim DOB: V	NO.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			···		CODE	SE	CTION			
DOB SEX (NAT) BOOKING NO. VIP 10 No. No. NO. VIP 10 No. NO. VIP 10 No. NO		•			(=)			PC	261(A)(3)	09/08/13	ļ	
DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIP VIV. No KEY CODE: DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIP VI VICTIM DOB:		Doe, Johr	7] 			PC	261.5(b) F0	09/08/13		
DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIP VIV. No KEY CODE: DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIP VI VI VICTIM DOB:	1											В	
DOB SEX (MP) BOOKING NO. VIP VI VICTOR: 14 Geng Member Neme of Geng Victim Name: Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX (MP) BOOKING NO. VIP VICTIM DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX (MP) BOOKING NO. VIP VICTIM DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX (MP) BOOKING NO. VIP VICTIM DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) 3 DOB SEX (MP) BOOKING NO. VIP VICTIM DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) With DOB: Victim DOB: Victim DOB: Victim DOB: With DOB: Wi													
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim Name: Victim DOB: Victim DOB: Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Gang Member Name o		DOB	SEX (MF)	BO	KING NO.	VIP Y	N∞						
Victim Name: Victim DOB:			М	N/A		KEY COD	E: 14					}	
AMME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIP Year No KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim Name: Victim DOB: SEX (MF) BOOKING NO. VIP Year No KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim Name: Victim DOB: Normanb Victim and suspect are students at Occidental College. Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Normanb Victim and suspect are students at Occidental College. Normanb Victim and suspect are students at Occidental College. Normanb Victim and Suspect are Students at Occidental College. Normanb Victim and Suspect are Students at Occidental College. Normanb Victim Sex (MF) Sex		Gang Membe	r Name of	Gang				Victim Ga	ang Membe	Name of	Gang	·	
DOB SEX (MMF) BOOKING NO. VIP Vs No KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang		Victim Name:			Victim DOB:							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
BOB SEX (MF) BOCKING NO. VIP No KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim Name: Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) Victim DOB: DOB SEX (MF) BOCKING NO. VIP No KEY CODE: Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim Name: Victim DOB: Victim DOB:		NAME (LAST, FIF	RST MIDDLE	E)					T				
BOOK SEX (MIT) BOOKING NO. VIP No. KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang											1	7	
BOOK SEX (MIT) BOOKING NO. VIP No. KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang	ļ										1	-	
BOB SEX (MF) BOCKING NO. VIP No KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim Name: Victim DOB: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) Victim DOB: DOB SEX (MF) BOCKING NO. VIP No KEY CODE: Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim Name: Victim DOB: Victim DOB:	_							-				-	
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Name of Gang Victim Name: Name of Gang Victim Name: Name of Gang Victim Name:	2	non	SEY (UE)	BA	UKING NO	VIP Yes	■ No	+	 		 	-	
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOCKING NO. VIPTO Year No KEY CODE: Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim Name: Victim OOB: With Name: Victim OOB: Victim Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name Victim Name: Victim DOB: Ommenta Victim and suspect are students at Occidental College. Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adapted. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. Lexplained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to		DOB	SEA (MIP)	ВО	ONING NO.								
NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOOKING ND. VIP Yea No KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang Victim BOB: Victim DOB: Victim DOB: Withesses were neterviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adagment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. Lexplained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as the ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to		☐ Gang Membe	r Name of	Gang	-	T KET COD		Victim Ga	ing Membe	r Name of	Gang	_L	
NAME (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE) DOB SEX (MF) BOCKING NO. VIP No. KEY CODE: Gang Member Name of Gang Victim BOB: Omments Victim and suspect are students at Occidental College. Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. Lexplained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as the ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew it reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to													
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim DOB: Witnesses were Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. Lexplained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew in reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to	3		RST MIDDLE	<u> </u>	 	·						1	
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim DOB: Withesses were Attentioned and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. Lexplained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew in reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to								<u> </u>			+	\dashv	
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim DOB: Withesses were Attentioned and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. Lexplained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew in reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to					}	•		-	+			-	
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim DOB: Witnesses were Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. Lexplained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew in reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to								ļ					
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim DOB: With Name: Victim DOB: With sesses were Attentiewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as the ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to			1	T	1	MDD v.	. 🗆					\dashv	
Gang Member Name of Gang Victim Name: Victim DOB: Witnesses were Attentioned and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as the ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew it reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to		OOB	SEX (M/F)	BO	OKING NO.							İ	
Victim DOB: Witnesses were Mitnesses were M		Gang Membe	Name of	Gane		KEY COL		Victim G	10a Mamba	e Nama of	Gann		
Witnesses were students at Occidental College. Witnesses were students at the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to erfain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. In More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to													
Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. Lexplained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to					 			Victim DOB	:				
Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad udgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to			students at	Occi	dental College	. •							
Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. In More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to									10				
Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad udgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to					, , , ,								
Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad udgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to	سخ												
Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad udgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to				1 41-				مندامیه					
Interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad adgment. Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to										,			
Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to											Witnesses wer	e	
Interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261(a)(3) and the lack of evidence as to ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to			that the vic	im ar	nd suspect we	re both drui	nk, howe	ever, that th	ey were bo	oth willing p	articipants exe	encising bad	
ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to	judgme	ent .											
ertain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to	()-6	- حائمة من المساورة		_		(1 - 1	4 4 - 1	ماداد ما ماداد	f DC 26	:4/=\/3\	م عام الساد عام الم	رة ده سماسان	
n. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to											d rue lack of e	Haence as t	
r reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to	CON CONT	скитень. Оросі	ilvally upo 1	20W 0	יייאמייב איניניי	ii waa vahat					to prove the su	ispect knew	
CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)	or reas	onably should ha	ve known t	hat sl	ne was preven	ited from re	sisting if	she was in	that state.	It would b	e reasonable f	or him to	
	(CONT	'INUED ON NEXT	PAGE)										

١,_

213633485@

HARGE EVALUATION WORKSHEE

P.03/03 Page O1

conclude based on their communications and her actions that, even though she was intoxicated, she could still exercise reasonable Judgment. That charge is therefore declined based on insufficient evidence.

The misdemeanor PC 261.5 charge is also declined based on Insufficient evidence. There is a 4-month age difference between the parties. The victim was 1 month shy of her 18th birthday when this occurred. The victim is enrolled in college and there is no evidence that the suspect knew or should have known she under age.

COMPLAINT DEPUTY (PRINT) COMPLAINT DEPUTY ISIGNATURE! STATE BAR NO. REVIEWING DEPUTY (SIGNATURE) as a water ALISON A. W. MEYERS 178816

FILING OFFICER (SIGNATURE)

I have conveyed all relevant information to the above-named Deputy District Attorney to be used in consideration of a filing decision.

CTOYNEZ FILING OFFICER (PRINT).

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REASON CODES (FORM 8715)

Lack of Corpus

Lack of Sufficient Evidence Inadmissible Search/Salzura D. Vicim Unavallable/Declines to Testify

Withess Unavailable/Declines to Testify Combined with Other

Jurisdiction Counts/Cases Interest of Justice

Other (indicate the reason in Comments section) Referred to Non-California

Deferred for Revocation of Parole

Further Investigation

Prosecutor Prefiling Deferral

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S REASON CODES

M. Probation Violation in Ileu of Referred to City Attorney for Misdemeanor Consideration