In four landmark cases, the Supreme Court of the United States has provided a general outline of the First Amendment rights of high school students. Taken together, these four cases give public high school officials more leeway to regulate speech than public college administrators, although some states have passed laws to provide additional protection for high school students. If the Supreme Court’s boundaries for high schoolers’ First Amendment rights were applied to the university setting, the effect on freedom of speech would be substantial. Fortunately, the Court has never held that the limitations on expression allowed in high school cases would pass constitutional muster at public universities.


In *Tinker*, a school punished students for wearing black armbands as a silent protest against the Vietnam War. The school district claimed that it feared the protest would cause a disruption at school, but it could point to no concrete evidence that such a disruption would occur or ever had occurred as a result of similar protests. The Supreme Court held: “It can hardly be argued that either students or teachers shed their constitutional rights to freedom of speech or expression at the schoolhouse gate.” In declaring the regulation unconstitutional, the Court stated: “[U]ndifferentiated fear or apprehension of disturbance is not enough to overcome the right to freedom of expression.”

Since *Tinker*, the regulation of student speech (in public high schools) is generally permissible only when the school reasonably fears that the speech will substantially disrupt or interfere with the operation of the school or the rights of other students. However, *Tinker* was not the final word on student speech in public high schools.

Learn more about this case by watching [FIRE’s interview with Mary Beth Tinker](https://www.flintkite.com/articles/tinker- interview-mary-beth-tinker), the namesake of the *Tinker* decision.
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**Bethel School District v. Fraser (1986)**

In *Fraser*, a high school student was suspended for giving a speech at a school assembly that included a number of sexual innuendos and double entendres. The Court upheld the suspension, saying: “The schools, as instruments of the state, may determine that the essential lessons of civil, mature conduct cannot be conveyed in a school that tolerates lewd, indecent, or offensive speech and conduct such as that indulged in by this confused boy.” According to *Fraser*, there is no First Amendment violation when a school punishes, on a viewpoint-neutral basis, a student for “lewd,” “vulgar,” “indecent,” and “plainly offensive” speech during classes, assemblies, or other times when students are forced to listen.


In *Hazelwood*, the Court upheld a school principal’s decision to delete stories about student pregnancies and divorced parents before they appeared in the student newspaper. The Court reasoned that the publication of the school newspaper, which was written and edited as part of a journalism class, was a part of the curriculum and a regular classroom activity. It wrote: “[E]ducators do not offend the First Amendment by exercising editorial control over the style and content of student speech in school-sponsored expressive activities so long as their actions are reasonably related to legitimate pedagogical concerns.”
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**Morse v. Frederick (2007)**

In *Morse*, the Supreme Court found that a public high school had not violated the First Amendment rights of a student suspended for unfurling a banner reading “BONG HiTS 4 JESUS” at a school-sponsored, off-campus event. Determining that it could “discern no meaningful distinction between
celebrating illegal drug use in the midst of fellow students and outright advocacy or promotion,” the Court found that public high schools may “restrict student speech at a school event, when that speech is reasonably viewed as promoting illegal drug use.”
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