

From: Sarah Baker [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, March 13, 2021 7:33 PM
To: Nessa Goldhirsch Brown [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Cc: Tory Atkins [REDACTED], Haja Isatu Bah [REDACTED]
Subject: Club Affairs

Hello,

I want to thank you both for meeting with the Club Affairs Committee. I thought we had a really good conversation. I'm writing to let you know the outcome of our deliberation. The committee voted to not have Progressive Zionists for Peace move into trial period at the moment.

We very much encourage you both to come back at the start of next semester and reapply for trial status. We think there is definitely a space for elements of your club idea, but some members expressed concern that a dialogue focused club with one perspective being conveyed could be troublesome. That being said, the committee thinks that the group should try to either gain more diverse perspectives before becoming a club focused on dialogue or reframe the mission to be more advocate focused with a specific stance. If you'd like further clarification, I'm very happy to meet with either of you to have a more in-depth conversation about the decision. Again, I just want to reiterate that the committee feels that this club, with modifications that would ensure a healthy space for open dialogue or a clear view on one side, does have a potential future at Skidmore.

You do have options if you do not agree with the decision and wish to still move forward. There is an appeals process, where you could go through senate directly, without Club Affairs Committee Support, and they would vote on your trial period. Additionally, you are welcome to submit more Intent to Organize forms in the fall with these suggestions in mind and potentially start your trial at the beginning of next semester. Please let me know if any of these options interest you and we can set up a zoom call with Tory to go through those in more depth.

Best,
Sarah